

1.0 Miami-Dade’s Broadband Readiness Assessment Results

1.1 Top Level Summary of Readiness Categories for Miami-Dade

Summary of Results: The readiness assessment indicates that the Miami-Dade community currently has a relatively high level of readiness for implementation of a broadband initiative. The **overall score total of 73.8%** across all six readiness categories (see Table A below) identifies a broad foundation for developing this broadband initiative. All six categories scored between 67.9% and 77.5%, demonstrating a healthy consistency.

The assessment confirms good understanding, strong support and preparation for a broadband initiative. Nonetheless, an identifiable number of areas have been identified for improvement.

The following table summarizes the overall results for each of the readiness categories.

Table A - Overall Score and Ranking from Readiness Assessment

Readiness Category	Overall Summary		
	Rank	Score %	Convergence (0 to 1)
Leadership	2	76.5%	0.619
Vision and Plan	3	73.1%	0.616
Organizational Stability	6	67.9%	0.454
Community Awareness	4	72.8%	0.543
Implementation Ability	1	77.5%	0.640
Market Profile	5	71.5%	0.496
TOTAL		73.8%	

Table A – Terms and Abbreviations for Readiness Scoring Summaries

Rank: The rank of each category from highest to lowest.

Score %: Score as percentage of maximum weighted score. **Green** shading indicates scores in top third (ready); **red** indicates scores in bottom third (not ready).

Convergence: Convergence indicates the level of agreement amongst questionnaire respondents, with 1.000 representing complete agreement. Convergence below 0.500 indicates a level of risk that may require further attention, as indicated by **yellow** highlight.

Each readiness category is composed of five to seven questions, with a total of 35 questions across all categories. Questions that received a score of 33.3% or less indicate a lack of readiness; scores of 66.6% or higher indicate general readiness.

Miami-Dade survey respondents have indicated a high state of readiness in 22 of the 35 total areas (see Table B). No set of responses scored below the readiness threshold. The remaining 13 areas were scored as moderately ready. The areas that would benefit from improved readiness include: enhanced community awareness and community outreach capacity; agreement within the coalition regarding certain planning issues that have strategic aspects; and, confirmation of local political support and participation after the local government elections later this year.

Table B - Summary of Results by Readiness Category

Readiness Category	Question Summary					
	# of Questions	Don't Know %	# Ready	# Not Ready	Highest Score	Lowest Score
Leadership	7	3.6%	5	0	94.4%	61.1%
Vision and Plan	5	1.7%	3	0	100.0%	52.8%
Organizational Stability	4	6.3%	2	0	80.6%	55.6%
Community Awareness	6	2.8%	3	0	87.9%	54.5%
Implementation Ability	7	1.2%	6	0	100.0%	63.9%
Market Profile	6	4.2%	3	0	87.9%	54.5%
TOTAL	35	3.1%	22	0		

Table B – Terms and Abbreviations for Readiness Summaries

No. of Quest: Total number of questions in each readiness category.

Ready: Number of questions that meet the top third percentage scoring criteria.

Not Ready: The number of questions that fall into the bottom third percentage scoring criteria.

Don't Know %: Percentage of responses received as “I don't know” (DK). DK responses are not scored and are not included in the calculation of averages. DK over 20% are indicated with yellow shading (caution), while DK over 50% are indicated by red shading (warning).

Highest Score: The highest percentage score from the question set for that category.

Lowest Score: The lowest percentage score from the question set for that category.